

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/652,643	Applicant(s) BULLIED ET AL.	
	Examiner Kuang Y. Lin	Art Unit 1725	

All Participants:

(1) Kuang Y. Lin.

(2) Mr. Slate.

Status of Application: under first office action

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 22 March 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103 rejection dated Nov. 22, 2005

Claims discussed:

1, 8, 13, 19 and 20

Prior art documents discussed:

Blazek and Blazek et al.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed that those independent claims should be amended to clearly set forth that each of the mold sections is separated from the others such that the molten metal in each mold cavity forms a part which is separate from the others. With respect to the mold assembly claims, the distribution manifold is separate formed for assembling to the plurality of mold sections. See examiner's amendment for detail.